## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
V. Sier Laone White  Defendant		Case No. 1:13 Cr 49	
	ter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformulation fendant be detained pending trial.	m Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	(f), I conclude that these facts require
mat mo ac	Part I – Find	ings of Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in	•	nd has previously been convicted of
	a federal offense a state or local offense tha existed – that is		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is de	•	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of te	n years or more is preso	ribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state o		e prior federal offenses described in 18
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invol a minor victim		
	the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §		y other dangerous weapon
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	e the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.		
	Alternative I	·	•
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	as committed an offense	•
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq		.*
(0)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
(1)	Alternative I There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appea		
<b>√</b> (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	the safety of another pe	rson or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the	e Reasons for Detentio	n
evidence _ defendar incarcera contends consister criminal o	and that the testimony and information submitted at the carrier a preponderance of the evidence that:  at is a 28-year-old unemployed man with a substance alouted or facing criminal charges. He is charged in this can that he is an Armed Career Criminal because of convictly violated probation or parole by committing new crimicharges pending against him in state court. His record ew crimes if released on bond.	buse history. He has sp use with being a felon in ctions for drug felonies a es while on supervision.	ent most of the past decade possession of a firearm, and the Gov't nd crimes of violence. Defendant has He presently has four separate
	Part III – Directions I	Regarding Detention	
corrections appeal. TI	ne defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney is facility separate, to the extent practicable, from person the defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity or on request of an attorney for the Government, the	is awaiting or serving se y to consult privately with	ntences or held in custody pending n defense counsel. On order of United

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: March 25, 2013

Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville